

THE ZERO PARADOX

ZP-D: State Layer (Hilbert Space)

Version 1.6 | April 2026

Supersedes v1.5 | R3 added: topological type of T stated explicitly — locally constant; continuous from $(Q_2, 2\text{-adic topology})$ to H ; totally-disconnected/connected-space concern addressed

v1.5: D1 updated — $n = 2$ foundational minimum. v1.4: T5 proof corrected — ball-boundary argument.

This document operates within functional analysis. It imports from ZP-A and ZP-B and constructs the Hilbert space state layer on top of them. No information theory from ZP-C is imported. Cross-framework synthesis is deferred to ZP-E.

Illustrated Companion: A paired ZP-D Illustrated Companion provides concrete examples and visual intuitions for the results here. Examples are kept separate from the formal layers to distinguish illustrative material from proofs.

Version 1.4 change: T5 proof corrected. Prior proof cited $D2(v)$ (global lower bound $\|T(x)\| \geq \|T(0)\|$) as justification for sequence monotonicity — this does not follow. Correct proof uses ball-boundary argument: norm is non-decreasing because T maps each clopen ball to a single basis vector; crossing a ball boundary adds a component (strict increase); staying within a ball gives equality. T5 is renamed "Non-Decreasing Norms" to reflect this precisely.

Version 1.3 change: Theorem/Proposition hierarchy applied. T3 relabelled Proposition. T5 relabelled Proposition. T2 and T4 retain Theorem labels.

Version 1.2 change: Theorem T1 is reclassified as Design Principle DP-1. Orthogonality is a design commitment — well-motivated and explicit — but chosen, not derived.

I. Imported Structure

1.1 From ZP-A: Algebraic Structure of States

| Import I-A — From ZP-A: Lattice Algebra |
|---|
| (L, \vee, \perp) : join-semilattice with bottom. Axioms A1-A4. |
| \leq partial order: $x \leq y \iff x \vee y = y$ (D1, T1). |
| \perp is the global minimum: $\perp \leq x$ for all $x \in L$ (T2). |
| State transitions are joins: $f(x) = x \vee \alpha$ (D2). |
| State sequences are monotone: $S_n \leq S_{n+1}$ (T3). |
| CC-1: $S_0 = \perp$ — Conditional Claim; modelling commitment. |

1.2 From ZP-B: Topological Domain

Import I-B — From ZP-B: p-Adic Topology

AX-B1: Binary Existence Axiom.

MP-1: Minimality Principle.

T0: $p = 2$ derived from AX-B1 and MP-1.

Q_2 with 2-adic metric d (D1, D2).

T1: Ultrametric (strong triangle inequality).

T2: Every ball is clopen.

T3: Topological isolation of 0.

T5: Q_2 is totally disconnected.

C3: Snap is topologically irreversible (corollary of T5).

ϵ_0 : Minimum viable deviation, universe-contingent parameter (D5).

II. The Hilbert Space State Layer

Definition D1 — State Layer H

$H = \mathbb{C}^n$ is a complex Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $\{e_0, e_1, \dots\}$.

The foundational minimum is $n = 2$, corresponding to the two ontological states of the framework: \perp (null state, mapped to e_0) and ϵ_0 (first atomic state, mapped to e_1). These two orthogonal vectors express the binary existence/non-existence distinction that is the framework's central object. All further states are derived from this pair as joins in (L, \vee, \perp) — they require no additional foundational dimension.

The framework's core claims (T4: snap produces orthogonal shift; T5: monotone norms) are established at $n = 2$. Extensions to higher n are consistent and natural: for level- k approximations using the clopen ball partition of Q_2 at depth k , $n = 2^k$. No foundational claim of the framework requires $n > 2$.

Remark R1 — Decoupling of Topological and State Layers

Q_2 and H are categorically distinct structures. Q_2 is a topological field; H is a Hilbert space over \mathbb{C} . They share no operations.

The transition operator $T: Q_2 \rightarrow H$ is the only bridge. It is constructed explicitly in T2.

No operation in H is assumed to inherit a topological property of Q_2 without proof. Every cross-layer claim must go through T .

III. The Transition Operator $T: Q_2 \rightarrow H$

3.1 The Design Commitment — Orthogonality

Design Principle DP-1 — Orthogonality as the Representation of Topological Isolation [reclassified from T1 in v1.1]

Topological isolation in Q_2 (T3: 0 is isolated; clopen balls are mutually separated) is represented in H by orthogonality: elements that are topologically isolated in Q_2 map to orthogonal vectors in H .

Motivation: Orthogonality in H is the natural algebraic analogue of topological separation. $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = 0$ for $i \neq j$; two clopen balls are maximally distinct in the topological sense.

Status: DESIGN PRINCIPLE — DP-1 is chosen, not derived. It is the natural and consistent choice, stated explicitly. T4 and T5 below depend on DP-1 as a premise.

3.2 The Construction Target

Definition D2 — Transition Operator T (Requirements)

T: $Q_2 \rightarrow H$ must satisfy:

- (i) $T(0) = e_0$ (null state maps to the designated base vector)
- (ii) $T(\epsilon_0) = e_1$ (minimum deviation maps to the first non-base vector)
- (iii) T is injective on the clopen ball partition
- (iv) If x and y are in disjoint clopen balls, then $\langle T(x), T(y) \rangle = 0$ (DP-1)
- (v) $\|T(x)\| \geq \|T(0)\|$ for all x (norm-increasing: additive ontology preserved)

3.3 Existence of T

Theorem T2 — Existence of T (Basis Assignment)

There exists a function $T: Q_2 \rightarrow H$ satisfying all five requirements of D2.

Proof: Construct T by basis assignment. The clopen ball partition of Q_2 at level k consists of 2^k disjoint clopen balls. Assign each ball to a distinct basis vector of H . $T(x) = e_i$ where i is the index of the ball containing x .

- (i) 0 maps to e_0 by assignment. ✓
- (ii) ϵ_0 maps to e_1 by assignment. ✓
- (iii) Distinct balls \rightarrow distinct basis vectors. ✓
- (iv) Disjoint balls \rightarrow orthogonal basis vectors. ✓
- (v) All basis vectors have norm 1 $\geq \|e_0\| = 1$. ✓

Remark R3 — Topological Type of T

T is locally constant: it is constant on each clopen ball of Q_2 . This follows directly from DP-1 — topological isolation maps to orthogonality, so T does not interpolate between basis vectors across ball boundaries.

Continuity: T is continuous from $(Q_2, 2\text{-adic topology})$ to H . The image of T is a discrete set of basis vectors $\{e_0, e_1, \dots\}$. Each preimage $T^{-1}(e_i)$ is a clopen ball of Q_2 , which is open in the 2-adic topology. Therefore preimages of open sets in H are open in Q_2 , and T is continuous. ✓

Remark R3 — Topological Type of T

Note on connected spaces: Q_2 is totally disconnected; $H = \mathbb{C}^n$ with the norm topology is path-connected. A continuous map from a totally disconnected space to a connected space need not be constant — it must only have a totally disconnected image. T's image is a discrete (hence totally disconnected) set of basis vectors, which is consistent with both the total disconnectedness of Q_2 and the path-connectedness of H as a whole.

3.4 Uniqueness of T

Proposition T3 — Uniqueness of T up to Unitary Equivalence

Any two operators $T, T': Q_2 \rightarrow H$ satisfying D2 are related by a unitary transformation $U: H \rightarrow H$ such that $T' = U \circ T$.

Proof: T and T' both assign e_0 to the image of 0, which is the unique additive identity (A4). The ball structure of Q_2 is fixed; only the labelling of basis vectors varies. A unitary map U taking $T(0)$ to $T'(0)$ and preserving orthogonality relations defines the equivalence. ✓

Remark R2 — What T Is Not

T is not a ring homomorphism. Q_2 has field operations; H does not. T does not preserve addition or multiplication from Q_2 .

T is not a topological embedding. The topology of H is the norm topology; the topology of Q_2 is the 2-adic ultrametric. T is a structure-preserving assignment: ontological distinctions (topological isolation in Q_2) map to algebraic distinctions (orthogonality in H), as specified by DP-1.

IV. The Binary Snap in H

Theorem T4 — Snap Produces Orthogonal Shift in H

The Binary Snap $0 \rightarrow \epsilon_0$ in Q_2 maps to an orthogonal shift in H : $T(0) = e_0$ and $T(\epsilon_0) = e_1$, and $\langle e_0, e_1 \rangle = 0$.

Proof: By D2(i), $T(0) = e_0$. By D2(ii), $T(\epsilon_0) = e_1$. Since 0 and ϵ_0 are in disjoint clopen balls of Q_2 (T3), D2(iv) and DP-1 give $\langle T(0), T(\epsilon_0) \rangle = \langle e_0, e_1 \rangle = 0$. ✓

Status: Derived — unconditional theorem given DP-1.

Proposition T5 — Monotone Sequences Map to Non-Decreasing Norms

Let (S_n) be a monotone state sequence in L (ZP-A T3). Then $\|T(S_n)\| \leq \|T(S_{n+1})\|$ for all n .

Proof: By ZP-A T3, $S_n \leq S_{n+1}$. T maps each clopen ball of Q_2 to a single basis vector (D2). If S_n and S_{n+1} lie in different clopen balls, $T(S_{n+1})$ carries an additional basis component, giving $\|T(S_{n+1})\| > \|T(S_n)\|$. If they lie in the same ball, $T(S_n) = T(S_{n+1})$, giving equality. In both cases $\|T(S_n)\| \leq \|T(S_{n+1})\|$. Note: D2(v) gives a global lower bound $\|T(x)\| \geq \|T(0)\|$ — this does not imply sequence monotonicity; the ball-boundary argument above is the correct proof. ✓

V. Open Items Register for ZP-D v1.6

| Item | Status | Description |
|---|-----------------------------|--|
| DP-1: Orthogonality commitment | Design Principle — explicit | Reclassified from Theorem T1. Orthogonality is chosen, not derived. Content unchanged. |
| T2: Existence of T | Closed | Basis assignment construction. All five requirements verified. |
| T3: Uniqueness of T | Closed | Unique up to unitary equivalence. |
| T4: Snap \rightarrow orthogonal shift | Closed — unconditional | Proven from T2 and ZP-B T3. Depends on DP-1 as premise. |
| T5: Monotone norms | Closed — unconditional | Proven from T2 and ZP-A T3. |

VI. Validation Status

| Component | Status / Notes |
|---|--|
| $H = \mathbb{C}^n$ (D1) | Valid — Defined; foundational minimum $n = 2$ (binary existence/non-existence); extensions to $n = 2^k$ consistent; no core claim requires $n > 2$ |
| Decoupling of Q_2 and H (R1) | Valid — Structural; Q_2 and H are categorically distinct; T is the bridge |
| Import I-A from ZP-A | Valid — Received; CC-1 reclassification noted |
| Import I-B from ZP-B | Valid — Received; MP-1 included; C3 noted |
| DP-1: Orthogonality | Valid — Design Principle; reclassified from T1; well-motivated and explicit |
| D2: T requirements | Valid — Defined; five requirements stated; all satisfied by T2 |
| T2: Existence of T | Valid — Derived; basis assignment; all five requirements verified; R3 (v1.6) names topological type: locally constant, continuous |
| T3: Uniqueness of T | Valid — Proposition; derived; unique up to unitary equivalence |
| T4: Snap \rightarrow orthogonal shift | Valid — Theorem; derived; unconditional; depends on DP-1 |
| T5: Monotone norms | Valid — Proposition; derived; unconditional; from T2 and ZP-A T3 |