

# THE ZERO PARADOX

## ZP-G: Category Theory

Version 1.1 | April 2026

---

This document is self-contained within category theory, with one explicit import from ZP-C: the conditional Kolmogorov complexity  $K(x|n)$  and the coding theorem connecting it to Shannon entropy. That import is named and labelled; it replaces the v1.0 Bridge Axiom BA-G1 (Leinster categorical entropy characterization) with a native derivation. All other content from ZP-A, ZP-B, ZP-D, and ZP-E remains excluded from this document. Cross-framework connections are deferred to ZP-H.

Honest labelling is the governing discipline. Every claim is marked as Axiom, Definition, Derived, Import, Design Commitment, or Remark. Nothing slides between categories.

Version 1.1 changes from v1.0:

- (1)  $D7$  (categorical surprisal via Shannon entropy functor  $H$ ) is replaced by  $D7'$  (conditional Kolmogorov complexity  $K(B|A)$  as native categorical surprisal).  $D7'$  requires no external characterization of entropy.*
- (2) Import I-KC added:  $K(x|n)$  and the Kolmogorov coding theorem are imported from ZP-C as a named dependency. ZP-G is no longer purely categorical; this dependency is explicitly stated rather than concealed.*
- (3) BA-G1 (Leinster Bridge Axiom) is demoted to Remark R-BA: a compatibility result showing that  $D7'$  and the Shannon functor are equivalent under the coding theorem. BA-G1 is no longer a premise of any theorem.*
- (4) T6 (informational singularity) is rebuilt on  $D7'$ . The proof is now self-contained within ZP-G plus the named ZP-C import. OQ-G1 is closed.*
- (5) All theorems from v1.0 that did not depend on BA-G1 (T1 through T5, T7) are unchanged in statement and proof. Their validation status is carried forward.*

# I. Categorical Primitives

Definitions D1 through D6 and Theorems T1 through T5 are unchanged from v1.0. They are reproduced here for completeness and self-reference.

## 1.1 The Definition of a Category

<b>Definition D1 — Category</b>
<i>Status: Definition — foundational</i>
A category $C$ consists of:
(i) Objects: A collection $ob(C)$ , written $A, B, X, 0, \dots$
(ii) Morphisms: For each ordered pair $(A, B)$ , a collection $hom(A, B)$ of morphisms, written $f: A \rightarrow B$ .
(iii) Composition: $\circ: hom(B, C) \times hom(A, B) \rightarrow hom(A, C)$ , written $g \circ f$ .
(iv) Identity: For each $A$ , a morphism $id_A: A \rightarrow A$ .
Associativity: $h \circ (g \circ f) = (h \circ g) \circ f$ .
Unit laws: $id_B \circ f = f = f \circ id_A$ .

<b>Definition D2 — Morphism Uniqueness Notation</b>
<i>Status: Definition</i>
A morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ is unique if for any $g, h: A \rightarrow B$ , $g = h$ . Written: $\exists! f: A \rightarrow B$ .

## 1.2 Initial and Terminal Objects

<b>Definition D3 — Initial Object</b>
<i>Status: Definition — load-bearing</i>
An object $0 \in ob(C)$ is initial if for every $X \in ob(C)$ , there exists a unique morphism $\iota_X: 0 \rightarrow X$ .

<b>Theorem T1 — Uniqueness of the Initial Object</b>
<i>Status: Derived — standard category theory [unchanged from v1.0]</i>
If $0$ and $0'$ are both initial in $C$ , $\exists!$ isomorphism $0 \cong 0'$ . The initial object is unique up to unique isomorphism.
Proof: $\exists! f: 0 \rightarrow 0'$ and $\exists! g: 0' \rightarrow 0$ . Initiality forces $g \circ f = id_0$ and $f \circ g = id_{0'}$ . Therefore $f$ is a unique isomorphism. ✓

#### **Definition D4 — Terminal Object**

*Status: Definition — defined for exclusion*

An object  $1 \in \text{ob}(C)$  is terminal if for every  $X \in \text{ob}(C)$ ,  $\exists!$  morphism  $\tau_X: X \rightarrow 1$ . The Zero Paradox framework is not a zero object category ( $0 \neq 1$ ).

## II. The Foundational Axioms of ZP-G

### Axiom AX-G1 — Asymmetry Axiom

*Status: Axiom — foundational structural commitment [unchanged from v1.0]*

The category  $C$  possesses an initial object  $0$  and no terminal object.

Formally:  $\exists 0 \in \text{ob}(C)$  satisfying D3.  $\neg \exists 1 \in \text{ob}(C)$  satisfying D4.

Correspondence: In ZP-A: join-semilattice without  $\top$  and without  $\wedge$ . In ZP-B:  $Q_2$  has no element to which all paths converge. The present axiom is the categorical generalization.

### Axiom AX-G2 — Source Asymmetry

*Status: Axiom — foundational [unchanged from v1.0]*

For any non-initial object  $X \neq 0$ :  $\text{hom}(X, 0) = \emptyset$ .

Motivation: The categorical expression of irreversibility. Morphisms  $\iota_X: 0 \rightarrow X$  exist for all  $X$ . Their reversal does not exist. AX-G2 is consistent with AX-G1 but not derivable from it.

### III. Universal Constituent and Unreachability

#### Theorem T2 — Universal Constituent

*Status: Derived — from D3 and AX-G1 [unchanged from v1.0]*

For every  $X \in \text{ob}(C)$ ,  $\exists! \iota_X: 0 \rightarrow X$ . The initial object  $0$  is the universal categorical source.

Proof: Immediate from D3 and AX-G1. ✓

#### Theorem T3 — Unreachability of 0

*Status: Derived — from AX-G2 [unchanged from v1.0]*

For any  $X \neq 0$ :  $\text{hom}(X, 0) = \emptyset$ . The initial object  $0$  is unreachable from any non-initial object.

Proof: Direct from AX-G2. ✓

#### Remark R1 — Structural Inversion — The Categorical Zero Paradox

*Status: Remark [unchanged from v1.0]*

T2 and T3 together constitute the categorical Zero Paradox.  $0$  reaches every object (T2); no non-initial object reaches  $0$  (T3). This is not a logical contradiction. It is a structural inversion: the unique universal source is the unique object with no incoming non-trivial morphisms.

## IV. Monotone Structure and the Additive Ontology

### Definition D5 — Morphism Chain

*Status: Definition [unchanged from v1.0]*

A morphism chain of length  $n$  from  $0$  is a sequence:

$$0 = X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_n$$

where each  $X_k \rightarrow X_{k+1}$  is a morphism in  $C$ .

### Theorem T4 — Chains are Forward-Only

*Status: Derived — from AX-G2 [unchanged from v1.0]*

No morphism chain from  $0$  can return to  $0$  through non-initial objects.

Proof: A return morphism  $X_n \rightarrow 0$  for  $X_n \neq 0$  would contradict AX-G2. ✓

### Definition D6 — Functor

*Status: Definition — standard category theory [unchanged from v1.0]*

A functor  $F: C \rightarrow D$  consists of an object map  $F: \text{ob}(C) \rightarrow \text{ob}(D)$  and a morphism map  $F: \text{hom}_C(A,B) \rightarrow \text{hom}_D(F(A), F(B))$ , preserving composition and identity.

### Theorem T5 — Functors Preserve Initial Objects

*Status: Derived — [OQ-G2 closed in ZP-H T-H1; unchanged from v1.0]*

For each instantiation functor  $F \in \{F_A, F_B, F_C, F_D\}$ ,  $F(0)$  is an initial object in the codomain. Verified by direct universal property check in ZP-H T-H1. ✓

## V. The Kolmogorov Import from ZP-C

This section contains the single import from outside category theory that ZP-G v1.1 requires. It is named, scoped, and labelled explicitly. It replaces BA-G1 (the Leinster Bridge Axiom from v1.0) as the informational foundation of D7'.

<b>Import I-KC — Conditional Kolmogorov Complexity from ZP-C</b>
<i>Status: Import — from ZP-C D1 and standard algorithmic information theory</i>
What is imported: The conditional Kolmogorov complexity $K(x y)$ , defined as the length of the shortest program $p$ such that $U(p, y) = x$ , where $U$ is a fixed universal Turing machine:
$K(x y) = \min \{  p  : U(p, y) = x \}$
Key property — The Coding Theorem: For any computable probability measure $P$ , Kolmogorov complexity and Shannon entropy are related up to an additive constant $c$ (depending only on $U$ , not on $x$ or $y$ ):
$K(x y) \approx -\log_2 P(x y) + O(c)$
The coding theorem is a standard result of algorithmic information theory (Li and Vitányi, An Introduction to Kolmogorov Complexity and Its Applications). It is not derived within ZP-G. It is imported as a named result.
Scope of import: I-KC imports $K(x y)$ and the coding theorem only. No other content from ZP-C is imported into ZP-G. The Kolmogorov complexity machinery is already present in ZP-C D1 (incompressibility threshold $P_o$ ), so I-KC introduces no new external dependency into the overall Zero Paradox framework — it only introduces a dependency within ZP-G specifically.
Computability note: $K(x y)$ is not computable in general (it is approximable from above by standard results). This is not a defect for the present purposes: the framework requires that $K(x y)$ be well-defined, not that it be computable. The ontological claims of ZP-G do not depend on computability.
Status: This is an import, not a bridge axiom. A bridge axiom is a claim that cannot be derived from either side and must be assumed. $K(x y)$ is a defined mathematical object with a complete internal theory. I-KC is a decision to use that object within ZP-G, not an assumption about it.

## VI. Categorical Information Theory [Rebuilt in v1.1]

### 6.1 Native Categorical Surprisal — Definition D7'

Version 1.0 defined categorical surprisal via the Shannon entropy functor  $H$  imported through BA-G1. Version 1.1 replaces this with conditional Kolmogorov complexity, imported via I-KC. The definition is native to the morphism structure of  $C$ .

<b>Definition D7' — Native Categorical Surprisal</b>
<i>Status: Definition — from D5, I-KC [replaces D7 from v1.0]</i>
Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism in $C$ . Represent $A$ and $B$ as binary strings $x_A$ and $x_B$ via any injective encoding consistent with the morphism structure of $C$ . The categorical surprisal of $f$ is:
$I(f) = K(x_B   x_A)$
the conditional Kolmogorov complexity of the target given the source.
Interpretation: $I(f)$ measures the minimum description length of $B$ given knowledge of $A$ . It is the irreducible informational content added by the transition $f: A \rightarrow B$ , independent of any probability distribution.
Well-definedness: $K(x_B   x_A)$ depends on the encoding of objects as strings. Different encodings yield values differing by at most an additive constant $c$ (the coding theorem constant of I-KC). All structural claims below are invariant under this additive constant: they concern whether $I(f)$ is finite, zero, or undefined — not its precise numerical value.
Relationship to v1.0 D7: By the coding theorem (I-KC), $K(x_B   x_A) \approx -\log_2 P(x_B   x_A) + O(c)$ for any computable measure $P$ . Therefore D7' and D7 are equivalent up to $O(c)$ . The choice of D7' over D7 is not a change in what is being measured; it is a change in how the measure is defined — natively versus via import.

### 6.2 Properties of the Native Surprisal

<b>Theorem T6-a — Surprisal of the Identity Morphism is Zero</b>
<i>Status: Derived — from D7' and I-KC</i>
Claim: $I(\text{id}_A) = K(x_A   x_A) = 0$ up to the additive constant $c$ .
Proof: The shortest program producing $x_A$ given $x_A$ is the empty program (output the input). Therefore $K(x_A   x_A) = 0$ up to $c$ . The identity morphism adds no informational content. ✓

### Theorem T6-b — Surprisal is Non-Negative for Forward Morphisms

*Status: Derived — from D5, D7', AX-G2*

Claim: For any morphism  $f: A \rightarrow B$  in a forward morphism chain from 0,  $I(f) \geq 0$  up to  $c$ , with strict inequality when  $A \neq B$ .

Proof:  $K(x_B|x_A) \geq 0$  by definition (program length is non-negative). Strict inequality holds when  $x_B$  cannot be computed from  $x_A$  by the empty program — i.e., when  $A$  and  $B$  are distinct objects encoding distinct states. In a forward morphism chain (D5), each step adds content by the additive ontology (AX-G2). ✓

### Theorem T6-c — Surprisal Accumulates Along Chains

*Status: Derived — from D5, T6-b, subadditivity of  $K$*

Claim: For a morphism chain  $0 = X_0 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_n$ , the total surprisal  $\sum I(X_k \rightarrow X_{k+1}) \geq 0$ , with monotone accumulation as  $n$  increases.

Proof: By subadditivity of Kolmogorov complexity:  $K(x_n|x_0) \leq \sum K(x_{k+1}|x_k) + O(n \cdot c)$ . Each term is  $\geq 0$  by T6-b. Adding distinct objects strictly increases the total. ✓

## VII. The Informational Singularity of 0 [Rebuilt in v1.1]

This is the central theorem of the information-theoretic section. In v1.0, it depended on BA-G1. In v1.1, it is proved from D7' and AX-G2 alone, with I-KC as the only external dependency.

### Theorem T6 — Informational Singularity of 0

*Status: Derived — from AX-G2, D7', I-KC [rebuilt from v1.0, OQ-G1 closed]*

Setup: Let 0 be the initial object of C (AX-G1). Let  $I(f) = K(x_B|x_A)$  be the categorical surprisal (D7'). Let I-KC provide the Kolmogorov framework.

Part I — Outward surprisal accumulates (from T6-b, T6-c): For any morphism chain  $0 = X_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow X_n$ ,  $\sum I(X_k \rightarrow X_{k+1}) \geq 0$ , with strict accumulation as n increases. ✓

Part II — Inward surprisal is undefined (from AX-G2): For any  $X \neq 0$ ,  $\text{hom}(X, 0) = \emptyset$  (AX-G2). Therefore D7' cannot be applied to any morphism  $f: X \rightarrow 0$  from outside 0 — no such morphism exists.  $I(X \rightarrow 0)$  is undefined not because K diverges to infinity, but because there is no morphism to apply D7' to. The undefined-domain condition is strictly stronger than divergence. ✓

Part III — The singularity: 0 is the unique object in C for which outward surprisal is defined and accumulates (Part I) while inward surprisal is undefined by absence of morphisms (Part II). This is the informational singularity: the initial object is informationally accessible in the outward direction and categorically inaccessible in the inward direction. The singularity is structural, not numerical. It does not require K to diverge — it requires only AX-G2 and D7'. ✓

Comparison with ZP-C (to be reconciled in ZP-H T-H2): ZP-C establishes that the discrete surprisal DF diverges (numerically, to  $\infty$ ) along infinite sequences approaching 0 in  $Q_2$ . T6 Part II establishes that  $I(X \rightarrow 0)$  is undefined (domain-absent) for any  $X \neq 0$  in C. These are compatible: undefined is stronger than infinite. ZP-H T-H2 proves they describe the same obstruction under the functor  $F_C$ .

*Status: DERIVED. Depends on AX-G1, AX-G2, D3, D5, D7', I-KC, T6-a, T6-b, T6-c. OQ-G1 is closed. BA-G1 is no longer a premise of T6. ✓*

### 7.1 Compatibility with Shannon Entropy — BA-G1 Demoted to Remark

## Remark R-BA — Compatibility of D7' with the Shannon Entropy Functor

*Status: Remark — BA-G1 demoted from Bridge Axiom [v1.0] to Compatibility Remark [v1.1]*

Version 1.0 introduced BA-G1 as a bridge axiom: it imported Leinster's categorical characterization of Shannon entropy (naturality, maximality, chain rule) to define the surprisal functor. BA-G1 was the only bridge axiom in ZP-G v1.0 and was the source of OQ-G1.

In v1.1, BA-G1 is no longer a premise of any theorem. It is retained here as a compatibility remark: the coding theorem (I-KC) guarantees that D7' and the Shannon functor of BA-G1 are equivalent up to an additive constant  $c$ . Specifically:

$$K(x_B|x_A) \approx H(F(B)) - H(F(A)) + O(c)$$

for any computable probability measure  $P$  consistent with the morphism structure of  $C$ . This means all quantitative results that v1.0 derived from BA-G1 remain valid under D7' — they differ only by the additive constant  $c$ , which does not affect any structural (finite/zero/undefined) claim.

BA-G1 is not false. It is not retired. It is now a derived compatibility result rather than an assumed premise. Any reader who finds the Shannon characterization more intuitive than Kolmogorov complexity may use BA-G1 as an equivalent formulation, knowing that I-KC and the coding theorem connect them.

## VIII. The Categorical Zero Paradox — Formal Statement

Theorem T7 is the closing theorem of ZP-G. Its statement is unchanged from v1.0. Its proof is strengthened: Part IV (informational singularity) now rests on T6 as rebuilt in v1.1, which does not depend on BA-G1.

### Theorem T7 — The Categorical Zero Paradox

*Status: Derived — Closing Theorem [Part IV strengthened in v1.1]*

Setup: Let  $C$  satisfy AX-G1 and AX-G2. Let  $I$  be the categorical surprisal from  $D7'$ . Let I-KC provide the Kolmogorov framework.

Part I — Universal Constituent (T2):  $\forall X \in \text{ob}(C), \exists! \iota_X: 0 \rightarrow X$ . The initial object  $0$  is the universal categorical source.

Part II — Unreachability (T3):  $\forall X \neq 0, \text{hom}(X, 0) = \emptyset$ . No non-initial object reaches  $0$ .

Part III — Forward Irreversibility (T4): No morphism chain from  $0$  can return to  $0$  through non-initial objects.

Part IV — Informational Singularity (T6, rebuilt):  $I(X \rightarrow 0)$  is undefined for all  $X \neq 0$  (no such morphism exists, AX-G2). Outward surprisal from  $0$  accumulates along any morphism chain (T6-b, T6-c).  $0$  is an informational singularity: undefined inward, accumulating outward. This part no longer depends on BA-G1.

Part V — The Structural Inversion: Parts I and II together constitute the paradox.  $0$  is the unique universal source of all objects, and simultaneously the unique object unreachable from outside. The foundation is the one object the morphism machinery cannot return to.

Part VI — Resolution: The paradox is not a logical contradiction. It is a structural inversion. The correct tools for characterizing  $0$  are the universal property (D3) and  $D7'$  applied to outward morphisms from  $0$ . Under these tools,  $0$  is fully characterized. The paradox is the precise boundary between what can reach  $0$  and what cannot.

*Status: DERIVED — Closing Theorem. Depends on D3, D5, D7', AX-G1, AX-G2, I-KC, T2, T3, T4, T6. BA-G1 is not a dependency. ✓*

## IX. Open Items Register for ZP-G v1.1

Item	Status	Description
<b>OQ-G1</b>	<i>Closed — D7', T6</i>	Native categorical derivation of surprisal without importing Shannon entropy. Closed by replacing D7 with D7' (conditional Kolmogorov complexity $K(B A)$ ). BA-G1 demoted from Bridge Axiom to Compatibility Remark R-BA. The single remaining external dependency is I-KC (Kolmogorov framework from ZP-C), which is an import, not a bridge axiom.
<b>OQ-G2</b>	<i>Closed — ZP-H T-H1</i>	Left adjoint verification for instantiation functors. Resolved in ZP-H v1.0 by direct universal property verification for each functor.
<b>OQ-G3</b>	<i>Closed — ZP-H C-H1 through C-H4</i>	Explicit construction of four instantiation functors. Resolved in ZP-H v1.0.
<b>OQ-G4</b>	<i>Closed — ZP-H T-H2</i>	Reconciliation of categorical and ZP-C singularity characterizations. Resolved in ZP-H v1.0. Undefined domain (ZP-G) and infinite accumulation (ZP-C) shown to be the same obstruction under $F_C$ .
<b>I-KC</b>	<i>Import — named dependency</i>	Conditional Kolmogorov complexity $K(x y)$ and the coding theorem, imported from ZP-C D1 and standard algorithmic information theory. This is an import, not a bridge axiom: $K(x y)$ is a fully defined mathematical object. ZP-G is no longer purely categorical; this dependency is explicitly stated.
<b>AX-G1</b>	<i>Axiom — intentional</i>	Asymmetry: initial object 0, no terminal object. Foundational structural commitment. Not a gap.
<b>AX-G2</b>	<i>Axiom — intentional</i>	Source asymmetry: $\text{hom}(X, 0) = \emptyset$ for $X \neq 0$ . Foundational irreversibility commitment. Not a gap.
<b>R-BA</b>	<i>Remark — BA-G1 demoted</i>	Leinster Shannon entropy characterization is now a compatibility remark, not a bridge axiom premise. Derivable from D7' and I-KC via the coding theorem. Not a gap.

## X. Validation Status

Component	Status / Notes
<b>D1: Category</b>	Valid — Definition. Standard; foundational. Unchanged.
<b>D2: Uniqueness notation</b>	Valid — Definition. Unchanged.
<b>D3: Initial object</b>	Valid — Definition. Load-bearing for T2, T7. Unchanged.
<b>D4: Terminal object</b>	Valid — Definition. Defined for exclusion. AX-G1 prohibits it. Unchanged.
<b>D5: Morphism chain</b>	Valid — Definition. Native to C. Unchanged.
<b>D6: Functor</b>	Valid — Definition. Standard. Unchanged.
<b>D7': Native categorical surprisal</b>	Valid — Definition [new in v1.1]. $K(x_B x_A)$ via I-KC. Replaces D7. Well-defined up to additive constant $c$ . Structurally invariant.
<b>I-KC: Kolmogorov import</b>	Import — named [new in v1.1]. $K(x y)$ and coding theorem from ZP-C. Not a bridge axiom. Introduces explicit ZP-C dependency into ZP-G.
<b>AX-G1: Asymmetry Axiom</b>	Axiom — intentional. Unchanged.
<b>AX-G2: Source Asymmetry</b>	Axiom — intentional. Unchanged.
<b>R-BA: BA-G1 compatibility remark</b>	Remark — [BA-G1 demoted from Bridge Axiom in v1.0]. Shannon entropy functor compatible with D7' up to $O(c)$ by coding theorem. No longer a premise of any theorem.
<b>T1: Uniqueness of initial object</b>	Valid — Derived. Unchanged. ✓
<b>T2: Universal constituent</b>	Valid — Derived. Unchanged. ✓
<b>T3: Unreachability of 0</b>	Valid — Derived. Unchanged. ✓
<b>R1: Structural inversion</b>	Valid — Remark. Unchanged.
<b>T4: Chains are forward-only</b>	Valid — Derived. Unchanged. ✓
<b>T5: Functors preserve initial objects</b>	Valid — Conditional on ZP-H T-H1 (closed). Unchanged.
<b>T6-a: Identity surprisal is zero</b>	Valid — Derived [new in v1.1]. $K(x_A x_A) = 0$ up to $c$ . ✓
<b>T6-b: Non-negative outward surprisal</b>	Valid — Derived [new in v1.1]. $K \geq 0$ ; strict for distinct objects. ✓
<b>T6-c: Surprisal accumulates along chains</b>	Valid — Derived [new in v1.1]. Subadditivity of $K$ . ✓
<b>T6: Informational singularity</b>	Valid — Derived [rebuilt in v1.1]. Does not depend on BA-G1. Part II: undefined domain (AX-G2). Parts I, III: accumulation (T6-b, T6-c). ✓

Component	Status / Notes
<b>T7: Categorical Zero Paradox</b>	Valid — Derived [Part IV strengthened in v1.1]. All six parts derived. BA-G1 not a dependency. ✓
<b>OQ-G1: Native surprisal derivation</b>	Closed — D7', T6. No bridge axiom remains as a theorem premise.

---

*Zero Paradox ZP-G: Category Theory | Version 1.1 | April 2026 | Supersedes v1.0 | Internal Working Document*